UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/840,082	04/24/2001	Joo Soo Lim	049128-5006 2174	
	7590 09/13/2001 WIS & BOCKIUS LLP	EXAMINER		
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW			QI, ZHI QIANG	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	09/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary			LIM ET AL.		
		09/840,082	Art Unit		
	,	Examiner			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Mike Qi ears on the cover sheet with the c	2871 orrespondence address		
Period fo					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in me may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Au</u>	<u>igust 2007</u> .			
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E.	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	3 U.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3,9,11-13,19 and 21 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,9,11-13,19 and 21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The specification is objected to be specification to the specification is objected to be specification.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ander 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite		

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

The applicant's arguments filed on Aug. 3, 2007 stated claims 1-3, 9,11-13,19,21 and 22 are pending in this application. However, the amended claims are claims 1-3,9,11-13, 19 and 21. Therefore, the examination is for claims 1-3, 9, 11-13, 19 and 21 set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 9, 11-13 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of US 6,297,862 (Murade) and US 5,339,181 (Kim et al).

Regarding claims 1, 9, 11 and 19, AAPA teaches (paragraphs 0006 – 0010; Figs. 1-3) a conventional liquid crystal display comprising:

- a pixel electrode (10) at a pixel area between a gate line (14) and data line
 (13);
- a switching device (thin film transistor TFT) (12) at an intersection between the gate line (14) and the data line (13), and having drain electrode (7) is made of metal connected to the pixel electrode (10) (see Fig.2) as a first metal film:

Application/Control Number: 09/840,082

Art Unit: 2871

a light-shielding member (black matrix) (11) as a first light-shielding member covering the switching device (TFT) (12) and also on the first metal film (the drain electrode (7);

Page 3

- a <u>charging device</u> (a storage capacitor 19 between the gate line 14 as the lower electrode and the upper <u>metal</u> thin film 15 as the upper electrode or a second metal film overlapping the pixel electrode 10) on the gate line (14), therefore, the <u>charging device</u> is a storage capacitor (19) including the upper electrode (metal) (15) (a second metal film overlapping the pixel electrode) and the gate line (14) and a gate insulating layer (4) (dielectric layer) between the gate line (14) and the upper electrode (15); or forming a <u>charging device</u> including upper electrode (15) made of <u>metal</u> (second metal film on the rear substrate and overlapping the pixel electrode) over the gate line (14) and a dielectric layer (gate insulating layer 4 as shown in Fig.3);
- a light-shielding member (black matrix) (11) covering the drain electrode (7) of the switching device (TFT) (12) (the first metal thin film) functions as the first light-shielding member or the first dummy black matrix;
- a light-shielding member (black matrix) (11) covering the charging device (19)
 (the storage capacitor) also functions as the second light-shielding member or
 the second dummy black matrix;

(concerning claims 1, 9 and 19)

- drain electrode (7) made of <u>metal</u> (first metal thin film) connected to the pixel electrode (10) (see Fig.2);

Art Unit: 2871

- upper electrode (15) made of <u>metal</u> (second metal thin film) on the gate line (14) and a gate insulating layer (4) (dielectric layer) forming a charging device (capacitor) and overlapping the pixel electrode (10);

- a light-shielding member (black matrix) (11) on a front substrate (2) opposed to the rear substrate (1), and at a boundary portion between pixel areas (10) (see Figs.1 and 2);
- a light-shielding member (black matrix) (11) for blocking light incident onto the drain electrode (7) (first metal thin film) of the switching device (TFT) (12) and for blocking light incident onto the storage capacitor upper electrode (15) (second metal thin film).

AAPA does not expressly disclose the first light-shielding member (black matrix) extending from ends of the first metal (drain electrode) into the pixel area and the second light-shielding member (black matrix) extending from ends of the second metal film (upper electrodes of the storage capacitor) into the pixel area, such that the black matrix (first light-shielding member and second light-shielding member) completely covering the switching device (TFT) and the charging device (storage capacitor), so as to provide a margin sufficient to block light incident on the first and second metal films (drain electrodes and upper electrodes of the storage capacitor).

Murade teaches (col.7, line 11 – col.9, line 67; col.16, line 43 – col.17, line 53; Figs.1, 2, 11-14, 20) that the shielding film (black matrix 6) is formed around the pixel, and the shielding film (black matrix 6) <u>completely covering</u> the switching device (TFT, such as the source/drain regions 1a and 1b as shown in Figs. 1 and 2) and <u>extending</u>

from the drain region into the pixel area, and the light shielding member (black matrix 6) completely covering and extending over the drain/source region, and the light shielding member (black matrix 6) also extending over the upper electrode of a storage capacitor (any two conductive layers and an insulating layer would constitute a capacitor) such as the data line (3) made of metal (aluminum) (see col.7, lines 28-29) and gate line (2) (or there is a metal film 7) and insulating film (13, 12, 11) that constitutes a capacitance (charging device or storage capacitor) (see Fig.2), and that is sufficient to block light incident onto the drain/source region (the metal thin film), and the light incident on the liquid crystal device does not affect the TFT performance, and a bright, high quality images will be ensured (see col.6, lines 4-6).

Since such light-shielding arrangement would sufficiently block the light incident to the TFT, so as to minimize the leakage current of the TFT. Murade indicates (col.9, lines 58 –67) that such black matrix (6) as shown in Fig.2 completely covering (overlapping and extending) the TFT including the drain electrode and storage capacitance and the side portion of the pixel electrode would present a display of high quality images free from image degrading effect such as cross-talk.

Further, **Kim** teaches (col.3, line 40 – col.5, line 25; Fig.2A) that a liquid crystal display device having a first electrode (10) of each storage capacitor C (as the second metal film of this application), the gate line (1) and the insulating layer (2) forming a storage capacitor C; and the black matrix light shielding layer (20) completely covering the switching device (TFT) and extending from ends of the drain/source electrode (5a, 5b) (as first metal film of this application) into the pixel area (4) as shown in Fig.2A and

2B, and the black matrix light shielding layer (20) on the electrode (10) (as the second metal film of this application) completely covering the storage capacitor C and extending from ends of the electrode (10) (as the second metal film of this application), and the storage capacitor C overlapping the pixel electrode (4) as shown in Fig.2A and 2B. Kim further teaches (col.5, lines 9-14) that the electrode (10) of each storage capacitor C substantially surrounding each pixel electrode so as to serve as an additional light shielding layer, such that the light shielding structure (completely covering the TFT and the storage capacitor) provides a margin sufficient to block light incident onto the TFT and the storage capacitor, and that would have been at least obvious.

Page 6

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the liquid crystal display device of AAPA with the teachings of extending the light-shielding film completely covering the thin film transistor and completely covering the storage capacitor as taught by Murada and Kim, since the skilled in the art would be motivated for minimizing the leakage current of the TFT, improving the display contrast, and presenting a display of high quality images free from image degrading effect such as cross-talk so as to provide a margin sufficient to block light incident onto the TFT and the storage capacitor.

Regarding claims 2 and 12, AAPA teaches (paragraphs 0006 – 0010; Figs. -3) that the light-shielding member (11) is at a front substrate (2) opposed to a rear substrate (1) which includes the switching device (TFT 12), pixel electrode (10), the charging device (storage capacitor 19), and a liquid crystal layer between the two substrates.

Art Unit: 2871

Regarding claims 3 and 13, AAPA discloses (paragraphs 0006 – 0010; Figs.1-3) that the light-shielding member is a black matrix.

3. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA Murade and Kim as applied to claims 1-3, 9, 11-13 and 19 above, and further in view of US 6,266,117 (Yanagawa et al).

Regarding claim 21, AAPA, Murada and Kim teach the invention set forth above except for that the material of the light-shielding member is an organic material containing a black pigment,

Yanagawa teaches (co.7, lines 1-2) that the light shielding film is made of an organic resin in which, e.g., black pigment is dispersed, so that using the organic resin containing a black pigment as a light shielding member would be a routing skill in the art, and that was common and known in the art as the light shielding property of the organic material containing a black pigment to absorb light.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the liquid crystal display device of AAPA, Murada and Kim with the teachings of using an organic material containing a black pigment to form a light shielding member as taught by Yanagawa, since the skilled in the art would be motivated for absorbing light because the organic material containing a black pigment having the property to absorb light.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on Aug. 3, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments that the references fail to teach or suggest "a back matrix completely covering the thin film transistor and the storage capacitor at a boundary portion between pixel areas" it is respectfully pointed out that Murade teaches (col.7, line 11 – col.9, line 67; col.16, line 43 – col.17, line 53; Figs.1, 2, 11-14, 20) that the shielding film (black matrix 6) is formed around the pixel, and the shielding film (black matrix 6) completely covering the switching device (TFT, such as the source/drain regions 1a, 1b, as shown in Figs. 1 and 2) and extending from the drain region into the pixel area, and the light shielding member (black matrix 6) completely covering and extending over the drain/source region, and the light shielding member (black matrix 6) also extending over the upper electrode of a storage capacitor (any two conductive layers and an insulating layer would constitute a capacitor) such as the data line (3) made of metal (aluminum) and gate line (2) (or there is a metal film 7) and insulating film (13, 12, 11) that constitutes a capacitance (charging device or storage capacitor), and that is sufficient to block light incident onto the drain/source region (the metal thin film), and the light incident on the liquid crystal device does not affect the TFT performance, and a bright, high quality images will be ensured.

The reference Murade described in the summary of the invention that a black matrix can be safely omitted which does not mean without black matrix in the liquid crystal display device, and the Figs 1 and 2 clearly show the black matrix (6) completely

Art Unit: 2871

covering and extending overlapping the drain electrode and a capacitance and the side of the pixel electrode.

Further Kim teaches (col.3, line 40 - col.5, line 25; Fig.2A) that a liquid crystal display device having a first electrode (10) of each storage capacitor C (as the second metal film of this application), the gate line (1) and the insulating layer (2) forming a storage capacitor C; and the black matrix light shielding layer (20) completely covering the switching device (TFT) and extending from ends of the drain/source electrode (5a, 5b) (as first metal film of this application) into the pixel area (4) as shown in Figs.2A and 2B; and the black matrix light shielding layer (20) on the electrode (10) (as the second metal film of this application) completely covering the storage capacitor C and extending from ends of the electrode (10) (as the second metal film of this application), and the storage capacitor C overlapping the pixel electrode (4) as shown in Figs.1A and 2B. Kim further teaches (col.5, lines 9-14) that the electrode (10) of each storage capacitor C substantially surrounding each pixel electrode so as to serve as an additional light shielding layer, such that the light shielding structure (completely covering the TFT and the storage capacitor) provides a margin sufficient to block light incident onto the TFT and the storage capacitor, and that would have been at least obvious.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2871

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Qi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2299.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 7:30 am-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mike QI

Sep. 10, 2007